

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/973,890	10/11/2001	Ulrich Simon	209355US0	8963
22850	7590 03/04/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET			YOON, TAE H	
	RIA, VA 22314			PAPER NUMBER
	•		1714	
			DATE MAILED: 03/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ft
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•
	09/973,890	SIMON ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tae H Yoon	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replant of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC e. cause the application to become become become the cause the application to become become the cause the application to become the specification to become the cause the application to be the cause the application to be the cause the c	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicati BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal ma		is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and accomposite and any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abey- ction is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in ority documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date · Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 09/973,890

Art Unit: 1714

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited "the reactive constituent" in line 3 of claim 1 lacks an antecedent basis, and "the reactive constituent has been first reacted in the melt with cross-linking" is confusing since the crosslinked reactive constituent would not be a cross-linkable hot melt adhesive.

The recited "the isocyanate" in claim 5 lacks an antecedent basis.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,890

Art Unit: 1714

Claims 1 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Applicant's Disclosure at page 3, lines 21-24 of the specification.

Applicant discloses that the use of silanized polybutadienes in microencapsulation is known and that their applicability in conjunction with isocyanates and amines was surprising at page 3, lines 21-24 of the specification. Thus, applicant's invention lacks novelty absent particular crosslinkers or other limitation.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Pat. 6,664,318 to Bymark et al teaches the use of the silanized polybutadiene in encapsulation of electronic components in abstract and at col. 8, lines 25-26, but does not recognize or suggest its use in encapsulating a crosslinker. US Pat. 6,344,238 to Schmitt et al teaches a double dot technology, but does not recognize or suggest the use of encapsulated crosslinker.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/973,890

Art Unit: 1714

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

THY/February 23, 2004